## MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

## REVISED NOTICE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 1997-11, the Michigan Supreme Court will hold a public administrative hearing on Thursday, January 29, 2004, in the Supreme Court courtroom located on the sixth floor of the Michigan Hall of Justice, 925 W. Ottawa Street, Lansing, Michigan 48915. The hearing will begin promptly at 9:30 a.m. and adjourn no later than 11:30 a.m. Persons who wish to address the Court regarding matters on the agenda will be allotted three minutes each to present their views, after which the speakers may be questioned by the Justices. To reserve a place on the agenda, please notify the Office of the Clerk of the Court in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, Michigan 48909, or by e-mail at MSC\_clerk@courts.mi.gov, no later than Tuesday, January 27, 2004.

Administrative matters on the agenda for this hearing are:

- 1. 2000-29 Proposed Amendment of Rule 7.202 of the Michigan Court Rules.

  Published at 469 Mich \_\_\_\_ (Part 4, 11/14/03).

  Issue: Whether to modify the appellate court rules to resolve an inconsistency between MCR 7.202 and MCR 2.602(A) concerning the meaning of "entry" of an order.
- 2. 2001-51 Proposed Alternative Amendments of Rule 404 of the Michigan Rules of Evidence.
  Published at 469 Mich 1203-1205 (Part 1, 2003).
  Issue: Should the Court amend MRE 404 with respect to the admissibility of prior acts of domestic violence and the hearsay statements of a complainant-declarant?
- 3. 2002-06 Proposed Amendment of Rule 2(B) of the Rules of the 4. 2003-02 Board of Law Examiners.

Published at 469 Mich \_\_\_ (Part 3, 11/04/03). Issue: Should the LLM option be eliminated from the rules

governing admissibility to the State Bar of Michigan by examination? Should an applicant as well as a law school be allowed to request approval of the school's reputation and qualifications if the school has ceased operations after the applicant's graduation?

- 5. 2002-29 Proposed Michigan Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions. Published at 469 Mich 1206-1222 (Part 1, 2003). Issue: Should the Court adopt the proposed standards to govern the imposition of sanctions on Michigan lawyers?
- 6. 2002-30 Proposed Amendment of Rule 2.105 of the Michigan Court Rules.
  Published at 469 Mich 1205-1206 (Part 1, 2003).
  Issue: Should this rule be amended to correct a potential defect concerning service of process in actions where personal jurisdiction is required?
- 7. 2003-15 Proposed Amendment and Redesignation of Administrative Order 1993-5.
  Published at 468 Mich 1245 (Part 4, 2003).
  Issue: Should the administrative order be amended to permit more timely and effective responses to public policy proposals?
- 8. 2003-25 Proposed Amendment of Rules 3.977 and 7.204 of the Michigan Court Rules.
  Published at 469 Mich 1201-1203 (Part 1, 2003).
  Issue: Should the Court adopt the recommendations of the Court of Appeals Dependency Appeals Work Group?
- 9. 2003-47 In re Petition for Administrative Order or Court Rule Establishing Inactive Asbestos Docketing System.
  Published at 469 Mich 1223 (Part 2, 2003).
  Issue: Should the Court establish an inactive asbestos docketing system?
- 10. 2003-50 Proposed Amendment of Rules 3.915, 3.965, 3.975, 3.976, and 3.977 of the Michigan Court Rules.

  Published at 469 Mich \_\_\_\_ (Part 3, 10/10/03).

  Issue: Should the Court adopt the recommendations of the Supreme Court Adoption Work Group?
- 11. 2003-30 Proposed Amendment of Rules 2.112, 2.118, and 2.401 of the Michigan Court Rules.

  Published at 469 Mich \_\_ (Part 3, 2003)

Issue: Should these rules be amended to require prompt challenges of notices of intent to sue and affidavits of merit and meritorious defense in medical malpractice case, and prompt challenges of expert-witness qualifications in all cases? Do the proposed amendments conflict with *McDougall v Schanz*, 461 Mich 15 (1999), *and Greathouse v Rhodes*, 465 Mich 885 (2001)?

12. 2003-52

Amendment of Rule 2.119 of the Michigan Court Rules. Published at 469 Mich xxxviii (Part 2, 2003) Issue: Should the Court retain the September 30, 2003, amendment of Rule 2.119 of the Michigan Court Rules, which amended various provisions of the Revised Judicature Act relating to court filing fees, in light of 2003 PA 138?